Date Filed: 01/25/2011 ED page: 1 United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS January 25, 2010

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

In re:

JOHN STEVKO; RICHARD & ROSEMARIE LETOURNEAU; ROBERT IDE; LUCAS & BARBARA AMATO, and PARVIZ TAYEBATI,

No. 09-1557 (D.C. No. 1:09-MD-02063-JLK-KMT) (D. Colo.)

Petitioners.

ORDER

Before LUCERO, EBEL, and HOLMES, Circuit Judges.

Petitioners John Stevko, Richard & Rosemarie Letourneau, Robert Ide,

Lucas & Barbara Amato, and Parviz Tayebati have filed a petition for a writ of

mandamus seeking to vacate an order of the district court appointing lead

plaintiffs in two securities class actions captioned In re Rochester National

Municipal Fund and In re AMT-Free Municipal Fund.

"[A] writ of mandamus is a drastic remedy, and is to be invoked only in extraordinary circumstances." *In re Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.*, 568 F.3d 1180, 1186 (10th Cir. 2009) (quotation omitted). "It is not appropriate to issue a writ when the most that could be claimed is that the district courts have erred in ruling on matters within their jurisdiction." *Id.* at 1187. Accordingly, we will issue the writ "only when the district court has acted wholly without jurisdiction or so

clearly abused its discretion as to constitute usurpation of power." *Id.* at 1186 (quotations omitted). Petitioners must show that they have "no other adequate means to attain [] relief" and "that [their] right to the writ is clear and indisputable." *Id.* at 1187 (quotation omitted). In exercising our discretion, we must also "be satisfied that the writ is appropriate under the circumstances." *Id.* (quotation omitted).

We conclude that petitioners have failed to demonstrate that the district court "acted wholly without jurisdiction or so clearly abused its discretion as to constitute usurpation of power." *Id.* at 1186. Accordingly, we DENY the petition for a writ of mandamus.

Entered for the Court

ELISABETH A. SHUMAKER, Clerk

Glisabeta a. Shumalu